

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 16, 2002 LB 1185

interpret Iowa's law, too?

SENATOR BROMM: My recollection of Iowa's law is that you don't have to cover any of the related parties, even though you exceed that payroll criteria, but you have to cover any nonrelated employees starting with one. I do und...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, now if ag is in such a disastrous position in America today, how can Iowa afford to cover their agricultural employees like this?

SENATOR BROMM: Yeah, I don't know, Senator Beutler. I do know the re...I knew about Iowa's law before this...before I started looking at this area here in Nebraska, and the reason that I became aware of Iowa's law was that I became aware of a situation exactly like you're describing where the employer didn't have workers' comp and there was an accident and an action was brought. And so they were liable, you know, and there was only one or two employees. So I was aware of Iowa's law. I can't answer how they arrived at that policy decision but that would be a far stretch from where we're at, you know.

SENATOR BEUTLER: But, you know, isn't this...doesn't this give the lie to either one law or the other where we are in the same...

SENATOR BROMM: Well,...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...agricultural economy, and Iowa's, I can't see that their...

SENATOR BROMM: Yeah,...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...grain farmers are doing any better than ours,...

SENATOR BROMM: No, and...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...they can afford to give coverage to their employees who are nonrelated, but we can't.